

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/525,468		GENGER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Rosalynd Keys		1621	

All Participants:

(1) Rosalynd Keys.

(2) Eamonn P. Morrison.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rosalynd Keys/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

Status of Application: 135

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:35 a.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was made to Mr. Morrison to obtain authorization to amend the specification to include a brief description of the drawing. Mr. Morrison informed me that he needed to review the specification and that he would get back to me. I did not hear from Mr. Morrison, so I called him again at 11:57 a.m. on 2/25/11 and informed him that I needed his authorization by close of business otherwise I would have to send out an Ex Parte Quayle action.